

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address A MMISSI NER OF FAIGNUS AND TRADEMARKS FOR BANGE TO THE PAGE TO

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO 02 09 1999 KARL M. ROBINSON 303.455US2 6845 09 246,918 7590 05/20/2003 SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH EXAMINER PO BOX 2938 FOURSON III, GEORGE R

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2823

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	, , ~	
Office Action Summer		09/246.91		ROBINSON, KARL M.		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	T. MALLING DATE Ashin annuming	George F		2823	drass	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat r Reply	non appears on the	Cover Sheet wi	ur the correspondence ad-	11 ess	
THE N - Exten after : - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3's SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after the digital part of the set of the se	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. 1ys. a reply within the status priod will apply and with by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a r utory minimum of thin Il expire SIX (6) MON lication to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co	r ommunication.	
Status	Posponsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 February 20	003			
1)⊡ 20)⊡	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
2a)⊡ 3)⊟	·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
*	Claim(s) <u>2-6,10-18,29-38,50-52,76 and</u>	d 7 <u>7</u> is/are pending	j in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>2-6,10-18,29-33,37,38,50-52,76 and 77</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment	r(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	948) r No(s) <u>20</u>	· =	Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not Informal Patent Application (PTo		
C Datast and T	adamark Office			_		

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for "second conductive layer".

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-6,10,11,13,14,15,29,30,32,37,38,50,51,76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahihara et al in combination with Gaulier et al and Hayakawa et al.

Kahihara et al discloses formation of a patterned, electrically isolated polysilicon layer 142 a conformal metal layer on the plug as a lower capacitor plate and a capacitor dielectric and second capacitor electrode (fig.83). The reference does not disclose anodization to form the capacitor dielectric.

Gaulier et al is teaches formation metal-oxide-metal capacitors by anodization of a metal capacitor plate (col.1). The reference discloses use of metals other than Al.

Hayakawa teaches anodization of copper/titanium to form a metal-oxide-metal capacitor.

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kahihara et al and Gaulier et al to enable formation of a capacitor connected to a source/drain region and to employ the materials of Hayakawa in view of their disclosed suitability as anodized capacitor electrode materials.

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant argues that none of the references teach anodization of a metal layer over a conductive layer to form a capacitor dielectric. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In the process of the combination of the teachings of the references relied on the capacitor dielectric of Kashihara would be formed by anodization of the metal layer 143 according to the teachings of Gaulier and the metal of layer 143 would be selected according to the teachings of Hayakawa et al.

Claims 16,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahihara et al in combination with Gaulier et al and Hayakawa et al as applied to claims 2-6,10, 11,13,14,15, 29,30,32,37, 38,50,51,76 and 77 above, and further in view of Dickey et al.

Gaulier does not disclose adjusting the current during the anodization process.

Dickey et al is applied as stated in the office action mailed 12/17/01 as providing motivation to adjust the current as recited.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahihara et al in combination with Gaulier et al and Hayakawa et al as applied to claims 2-6,10,11,13,14,15, 29,30,32,37,38,50,51,76 and 77 above, and further in view of Jones Jr. et al.

Kahihara et al in combination with Gaulier et al and Hayakawa et al does not disclose forming the top electrode from polysilicon. Jones Jr. et al discloses that selection of materials for capacitor electrodes

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

depends in part on the material of the dielectric layer. The reference discloses use of doped silicon and titanium dioxide capacitor dielectric (col.4, line 51-col.5, line 15).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kahihara et al in combination with Gaulier et al and Hayakawa et al and Jones Jr. et al to enable formation of the top capacitor electrode.

Claims 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722 (or extensions 7724, 3431 or 3432) for regular communications and (703)308-7382 for after final communications.

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson May 7, 2003